

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					•	
APPLICAT	TION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,765		12/28	3/2000	Mitchell R. Swartz		8044
	7.	590	08/19/2003			
Mitchell R. Swartz, ScD, EE, MD					EXAMINER	
16 Pembroke Road Weston, MA 02493				PALABRI		CA, RICARDO J
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3641		
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

et 🔻	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/750,765	SWARTZ, MITCHELL R.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rick Palabrica	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a					
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads on the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of t	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amounte shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,12-19,21 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>11</u>	<u>l</u> .					
10.10 Other: Petition Decision	\sim \sim \sim						
PTOL 1449, 892	Michael Supervisory	a. Conour Ment exacters					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments in Paper No. 17 have been fully considered but found unconvincing. The reasons are the same as those stated in the Final Office Action, dated 3/20/03. Also, the amended claim 5 is rejected for the same reasons stated in section 15 of the 3/20/03 Office Action..